

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant thanks Examiner Parsley for taking time from his duties to meet by telephone with Applicant's agent on March 21, 2011.

(A) a brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted;

N/A

(B) identification of the claims discussed;

Independent claims 1, 16, and 20.

(C) identification of specific prior art discussed;

U.S Patent No. 2,266,043

U.S Patent No. 2,398,921

U.S Patent No. 3,595,269

(D) identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary form completed by the examiner;

Proposed claim amendments to including clarifying that the engagement member projects outwardly along the circumference of a tube.

(E) the general thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant and the examiner should also be identified, even where the interview is initiated by the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments can be understood in the context of

the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner;

Applicant will be further distinguished from the prior art of record by modifying the claims to include that the engagement member projects outwardly along the circumference of a tube.

(F) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed;

N/A

(G) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview; and

N/A

(H) in the case of an interview via electronic mail, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail contents **MUST** be made and placed in the patent application file as required by the Federal Records Act in the same manner as an Examiner Interview Summary Form, PTOL 413, is entered.

N/A